

The Population Control Agenda Of The Radical Humanists Who Would Love For You And I To Die

Billions of dollars is being poured into an effort to reduce population growth all over the world, and most people do not even know that it is happening. These efforts are often promoted under such labels as “family planning”, “abortion rights” and “public health programs”, but the primary goal is always to get women to have less children. This population control agenda has millions upon millions of supporters all over the planet and it is gaining even more adherents with each passing day. It is being taught in colleges, universities and schools all over the globe. It is being promoted by radical humanists who are completely and totally convinced that the core crisis that humanity is facing today is that there are way too many people living on this planet. They fully believe that overpopulation is the root cause of most of our economic, social, political and environmental problems. In fact, the truth is that many of them actually believe that they are literally in a “life or death” race to save the planet. Many of the “true believers” are entirely convinced that if human population numbers are not greatly reduced from where they are now, the planet we are living on will be completely destroyed. That is why many of these radical humanists would absolutely love for you and I to die. From their perspective, the less people the better.

Many that write about the population control agenda make it sound as if there is just a “tiny elite” that is promoting this philosophy. Sadly, that is simply not accurate. The truth is that this twisted agenda is being taught at the majority of the colleges and universities in the United States. It is being promoted in our television shows and in our movies. It is even being taught to elementary school children.

As a result, more Americans than ever are embracing this philosophy. You would be surprised how many people actually believe that our growing population will soon cause major shortages of food, water, oil and other important resources.

But when the population of the earth was back at 500 million did we still have poverty, war, famines and other similar problems?

Of course we did.

Reducing the population of the planet by more than 90 percent would not wipe out any of our problems.

Two “scientists” recently published a paper in the Journal of Medical Ethics in which they called for the legalization of the murder of newborn babies. Instead of “murder”, they want it to be called “after-birth abortion” instead....

“[W]hen circumstances occur after birth such that they would have justified abortion, what we call after-birth abortion should be permissible. ... [W]e propose to call this practice ‘after-birth abortion’, rather than ‘infanticide,’ to emphasize that the moral status of the individual killed is comparable with that of a fetus ... rather than to that of a child.

Therefore, we claim that killing a newborn could be ethically permissible in all the circumstances where abortion would be. Such circumstances include cases where the newborn has the potential to have an (at least) acceptable life, but the well-being of the family is at risk.”

Respect for life is rapidly declining in our society, and a big reason for that is because the population control agenda is being pushed at our colleges and universities.

For these radical humanists, the ends often justify the means. Many of them are entirely convinced that humanity is only going to have a bright future if the global population is reduced dramatically. So they are willing to take extraordinary measures for “the greater good”.

The truth is that the American public is starting to buy into the propaganda that getting married and having children is not such a great thing.

Today, an all-time low 44.2% of all Americans between the ages of 25 and 34 are married, and fertility rates in the U.S. are steadily dropping.

Back in 2008, the average number of children per woman in the U.S. was 2.1.

By 2011, that number had fallen to 1.9.

So the numbers are definitely going in the direction that population control advocates want.

The following is just one of the incredibly disturbing quotes from Holdren’s book....

“A program of sterilizing women after their second or third child, despite the relatively greater difficulty of the operation than vasectomy, might be easier to implement than trying to sterilize men.

The development of a long-term sterilizing capsule that could be implanted under the skin and removed when pregnancy is desired opens additional possibilities for coercive fertility control. The capsule could be implanted at puberty and might be removable, with official permission, for a limited number of births.”

And this population control agenda was even reflected in the new health care law. It is not an accident that sterilization won’t cost women a single penny under Obamacare.

They want U.S. women to choose sterilization. They want to make it as easy for them as possible.

The more women that get sterilized, the less children that will be running around.

There is so much more that could be written about the population control agenda of these radical humanists

"Pros of Population Control - BT 11 Sem 1: Population Control FGLN." *Pros of Population Control - BT 11 Sem 1: Population Control FGLN*. Web. 26 Feb. 2016.
<<https://sites.google.com/a/brvgs.k12.va.us/bt-11-sem-1-population-control-fgln/pro-page>>.